| 1                          | MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)<br>United States Attorney   |   |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| 2                          | MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)<br>Chief, Criminal Division   |   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | NATALIE LEE (CABN 277362) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue Eleventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7301 Fax: (415) 436-6753 E-Mail: natalie.lee2@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for the United States of America |   |
| 11                         | LINITED STAT   | ES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 12                         | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |
| 13                         | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |   |
| 14                         | SHALL IN INCIDED DIVIDION  |   |
| 15                         | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  | ) No. CR 3:13-mj-70400 LB                                     |
| 16                         | V.   | )<br>)<br>) STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ]           |
| 17                         | CHRISTOPHER ELMORE,  | ORDER EXTENDING TIME LIMITS OF RULE 5.1(c) AND EXCLUDING TIME |
| 18                         | Defendant.   | )<br>)  |
| 19                         |  | ý)  |
| 20                         |  |   |
| 21                         | On April 15, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for an initial   |   |
| 22                         | appearance on a Complaint. On that date, the government moved for detention, and a detention   |   |
| 23                         | hearing was scheduled for April 19, 2013. The parties now submit this Stipulation and Proposed   |   |
| 24                         | Order Extending the Time Limits of Rule 5.1(c) and Excluding Time until May 20, 2013.  |   |
| 25                         | Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d), the defendant consents to this extension of time and waiver, and  |   |
| 26                         | the parties represent that good cause exists for the extension, including the effective preparation  |   |
| 27                         | of counsel. The requested continuance is needed to allow counsel sufficient time to prepare and  |   |
| 28                         | review discovery that the government has provided, and the failure to grant the continuance  |   |
|                            | STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTEND CR 3:13-mj-70400 LB  | ING TIME LIMITS AND EXCLUDING TIME                            |

| 1  | requested would unreasonably deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective               |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Therefore, the parties agree that   |  |
| 3  | the Court shall order that the Speedy Trial clock shall be tolled for the reasons stated above from |  |
| 4  | April 19, 2013 to May 20, 2013, and that the arraignment/ preliminary hearing be continued until    |  |
| 5  | May 30, 2013. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).  |  |
| 6  | SO STIPULATED:  |  |
| 7  | MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney   |  |
| 8  | ·   |  |
| 9  | DATED: April _19_, 2013  /S/  NATALIE LEE  A spictory United States Atternay                        |  |
| 10 | Assistant United States Attorney  |  |
| 11 | DATED: April _19_, 2013 /S/ RITA BOSWORTH   |  |
| 12 | Attorney for Christopher Elmore   |  |
| 13 | <del>[PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER  |  |
| 14 | <u>ii koi osed</u> j okdek  |  |
| 15 | For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the extension of time limits applicable          |  |
| 16 | under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) from April 19, 2013 through May 20, 2013 is         |  |
| 17 | warranted and that a continuance of the defendant's arraignment / preliminary hearing to May 20,    |  |
| 18 | 2013 is warranted; that exclusion of this period from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. §  |  |
| 19 | 3161 is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance under Rule 5.1 outweigh the   |  |
| 20 | interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that |  |
| 21 | the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the defendant and for   |  |
| 22 | the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the     |  |
| 23 | exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C.                  |  |
| 24 | §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).   |  |
| 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |
| 26 | DAMED June 21 2013  |  |
| 27 | DATED: June 21, 2013 THE HONORABLE MARIA-ELENA JAMES  |  |
| 28 | United States Magistrate Judge  LAUREL BEELER   |  |
|    |   |  |